

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/675,201	09/29/2000	Sanae Tagami	197893US0	1428
22850	7590 01/07/2004		EXAM	INER
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			GARRETT, DAWN L	
	SIREE! RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		1774	99
			DATE MAILED: 01/07/2004	ι

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A)		A-3			
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/675,201	TAGAMI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dawn Garrett	1774			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	8 October 2003				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	tion is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 3-8,11 and 14-17 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 14 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 3-8,11 and 15-17 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2002 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of	pents have been received. The sents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The sents of the certified copies not estic priority under 35 U.S.C. of first sentence of the specific provisional application has estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	Application No n received in this National Stage of received. S. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) cation or in an Application Data Sheet. been received. S. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)	•				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _

6) Other:

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This Office action is responsive to the amendment, paper no. 21, received October 28, 2003. The amended abstract is noted and has been entered. Claims 1-2, 9, 10, and 12-13 are cancelled. Claims 3-7, 11, 15, and 16 are amended. Claims 3-8, 11, and 14-17 are pending.
- 2. The objection to the abstract set forth in paper no. 19 (mailed 7-28-2003), paragraph 2, is withdrawn due to the amendment of the abstract.
- 3. The objection to claim 3 set forth in paper no. 19, paragraph 4, is withdrawn due to the amendment.
- 4. The objection to claim 13 in paper no. 19, par. 5 is withdrawn due to the cancellation of claim 13.
- 5. The rejection of claims 3-6, 11-13 and 15 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakatsuka et al. (JP 10-168445) in paper no. 19, par. 8, is withdrawn.
- 6. The rejection of claims 7 and 8 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakatsuka et al. (JP 10-168445) in paper no. 19, par. 9, is withdrawn.

Claim Objections

7. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: It is not clear in claim 3 that "a compound having a fluoranthene skeleton structure substituted at least with an amine group or an alkenyl group" is intended to be the same as the "compounds represented by the following general formula [3], [17], and [18]". Clarification and/or correction are suggested for clarity.

Art Unit: 1774

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 9. Claims 3-8, 11, and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 10. Claim 3 is indefinite because the claim sets forth variables X1 to X20 while the structural formula only depicts X1 to X12. Clarification and correction are required.
- 11. Claim 4 is indefinite because the claim sets forth limitations drawn to formulae not set forth in independent claim 3 upon which claim 4 depends.
- 12. Claim 15 is indefinite because the claim sets forth variables X1 to X20 while the structural formula only depicts X1 to X12. Clarification and correction are required.
- 13. Claim 16 sets forth formulas that are not within the scope of claims 3, 17, or 18 given in independent claim 3. The formulas not reading upon formulas 3, 17, or 18 are B-3 to B-8 and B10 to B-12.
- 14. Claim 17 sets forth formulas that are not within the scope of claims 3, 17, or 18 given in independent claim 15. The formulas not reading upon formulas 3, 17, or 18 are B-3 to B-8 and B10 to B-12.

Double Patenting

15. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11

Art Unit: 1774

F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

16. Claims 3-8, 11, and 14-17 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 11-18 of copending Application No. 10/244,164. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the application contains identical overlapping subject matter with application no. 10/244,164.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

17. Claim 14 is allowed as previously indicated. Claims 3-8, 11, and 15-17 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action. Compounds 3, 17, and 18 wherein at least one substituent is an alkenyl group or an amine group have not been found in a layer comprising a metal complex of quinoline in an electroluminescent device.

Art Unit: 1774

Response to Arguments

18. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection and withdrawal of the rejection over Nakatsuka et al. (JP 10-168445). Applicant's arguments with regard to Nakatsuka et al. were persuasive in the withdrawal of the rejections over Nakatsuka et al.

Conclusion

19. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dawn Garrett whose telephone number is (571) 272-1523. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached at (571) 272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

D.G.

December 31, 2003

CYNTHIA H. KELLY
SUPERUTORY PATENT EXAMINER

CipHh/Kells

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700